

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/836,288	04/18/2001	Koichi Okuda	35.C15301	5455	
5514 75	90 08/11/2005		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			SINGH, SATWANT K		
NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
-			2626		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/200:	DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/836,288	OKUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Satwant K. Singh	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 February 2005</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-110 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-50 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 51-68,75-98 and 105-110 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 69 and 99 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 70-74 and 100-104 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to lddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioring application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioring application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority doc	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received  4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	on No ed in this National Stage ed. (PTO-413)				

Application/Control Number: 09/836,288 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 17 February 2005.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 99 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 99 currently states "storage medium on which is stores a program". It appears to the examiner that the claim should state "storage medium on which is stored a program". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 69 and 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 69 and 99 are drawn to functional descriptive material not claimed as residing on a computer readable medium. The examiner suggests amending the claims to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" in order to make the claim statutory.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 51-68, 75-98, and 105-110 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,288

Art Unit: 2626

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 51, 57, 63, 75, 81, 87, 93, and 105 teach similar subject matter as the prior art of Ohtsuka (US 6,327,049), Chan et al. (US 6,378,070), and Lacheze et al. (US 5,956,698). However claims 51, 57, 63, 75, 81, 87, 93, and 105 are allowed for the reasons pointed out by Applicant's remarks (page 23, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, and page 25, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph).

Page 3

- 7. Claims 52-56, 58-62, 64-68, 76-80, 82-86, 88-92, 94-98, 106-110 are allowable for being dependent on an allowable base claim.
- 8. Claims 70-74, and 100-104 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Additionally, the claims should overcome the 101 rejections for independent claims 69 and 99.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satwant K. Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly A. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/836,288

Art Unit: 2626

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satwart Sufh

Satwant K. Singh Examiner Art Unit 2626

sks

MARK WALLERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER